



Lowering Carbon Footprint through CO₂ Capture and Sequestration: A Refinery Perspective

by Anshu Nanoti

30-08-18

Indian Institute of Petroleum Dehradun-248005





PRESENTATION OVERVIEW

- Energy Scenario and Global Warming
- Petroleum Refinery at a Glance
- **Potential Sources of CO₂ Emission in Oil Industry**
- Approaches to Capture CO₂
- **CO₂ Capture Technologies**
- **CO₂** Capture by Pressure Swing Adsorption Using MOF



Trend in H/C in Global Energy Consumption





P



P

Primary Energy Demand in India by Fuel



As per IEEJ 2018 Primary Energy demand : 851 Mtoe





- Burning fossil fuels released CO₂ which leads to global warming
 Gathering wood for fuel result in deforestation
- •Increase use of transportation fuel leading to smog and affecting the quality of air
- •Mining of coal causes air and water pollution
- Net result

Global warming Climate change







Average global temperatures have risen 0.6°C in the past 100 years.



P

Source: IPCC Report on Climate Change, 2007



The Guardian



The Time of India: Global warming to claim 33% of ice volume in Hindu Kush Himalayan region: Expert

IANS | Dec 4, 2017, 08.19 PM IST



Glacier loss is accelerating because of global warming



LOS ANGELES: The polar bear populations are declining fast as they are unable to catch enough prey to meet their energy needs due to climate change, a study has found.











Suicides of nearly 60,000 Indian farmers linked to climate change, study claims



P

Fuel and Sector wise CO₂ Emissions (%) (2011-12)













| S. No. | Stationary Source | Mobile source | Natural Source |
|--------|-----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--------------------------|
| 1 | Fossil fuel-based electric power plants | Cars, and sports utility vehicles | Plants, Animals |
| 2 | Independent power producers | Trucks and buses | Plants and animal decay |
| 3 | Manufacturing plants in industry ^a | Aircrafts | Ocean/Land Exchange |
| 4 | Commercial and residential buildings | Trains and ships | Land emission/leakage |
| 5 | Flares of gas at fields | Construction vehicles | Volcano |
| 6 | Military and government facilities | Military vehicles & devices | Earthquake |





Emissions from Refineries at a Glance



Refinery Flow Diagram

P







Typical break down of CO₂ Emissions from Cradle to Grave for Oil Industry









Typical Break Down of CO₂ Emissions – Refiner's Perspective







P

CO₂ emissions from a refinery are affected by

- The complexity of refinery (No. of Different processes)
- Quality of crudes and other raw materials used
- Fuel type be burned (Fuel oil, NG, light naphtha)
- Quality of product slate delivered (e.g. low sulfur fuels)





Combustion Sources : direct

 Boilers, Process heaters, Turbines, Engines, Flares, Catalytic and thermal oxidizers, Coke calcining kilns, Incinerators

Combustion Sources - Indirect

- Electricity imports Process heat/steam imports

Vented Sources – Process Vents

 Catalytic cracking, Catalytic reforming, Catalyst regeneration, Thermal cracking, Flexi-coking, Delayed coking, Steam methane reforming (hydrogen plants)





HCK Based Refinery CO₂ Emissions Profile







FCC Based Refinery CO₂ Emissions Profile







CO₂ Emission In Refinery

- Increased use of hydrogen has resulted in to increased emissions of CO_{2}
- Hydrotreating unit producing a 50 ppm sulphur diesel oil consumes 4 to 7 kg of hydrogen per ton of diesel
- Each ton of hydrogen produced from NG will release 10 ton of CO₂
- Innovative technologies to reduce hydrogen consumption and CO₂ emissions needed.
- The main sources of CO₂ emissions in the refinery are combustion sources, and processes like hydrogen production, catalytic cracking etc
- CO_2 emissions from a refinery vary from 0.2 to 0.5 tons CO_2 / ton of crude which is very high



P

CO₂ Reduction Management in a Refinery

The Basic strategy should cover the following areas:

- The fuel system
- Energy efficiency (pinch studies)
- Hydrogen (pinch/management studies)
- The Catalytic Cracker (where applicable)
- Advanced processing options
- Process/utility/plant integration

The above said areas are complex and interacting systems so heuristic approach is required





Approaches to Capture CO₂





CO₂ Capture in Power Generation

• **Post combustion Capture:** Remove CO₂ after the coal/NG combustion process

- **Pre combustion capture:** Remove CO2 during or just after the gasification process where H₂+ CO₂/CO is formed
- Oxy-fuel combustion : Use highly enriched oxygen for coal combustion. No issue of separation of CO2 from nitrogen containing flue gas arises





CO₂ Capture Technologies









CO₂ Capture Technologies





Separation Technologies For CO₂ Removal

- ABSORPTION- Solvent (Physical, Chemical) as Separating Agent Separation is based on solubility
- ADSORPTION- Microporous Solid (Adsorbent) as separating agent Separation based on Surface forces, size, diffusion
- MEMBRANES- Solid/liquid film as separating agent Separation based on solubility, diffusivity, size difference in transport through films





Principles of Adsorptive Separations

- Adsorptive separations use microporous solids as separating agents. This is called an adsorbent
- These selectively take up "adsorb" components from feed mixture
- Component(s) adsorbed is called adsorbate
- Adsorptive purifications involve adsorbate concentration <10%
- Adsorptive bulk separations involve adsorbate concentrations>10%







Principles of Adsorptive Separations

- Adsorption is a two step process
- First step is the ADSORPTION STAGE with feed contacting the adsorbent generally in granular form
- Continued contact of feed with adsorbent leads to saturation of adsorbent
- So Second Step is REGENERATION stage with use of a desorbent and /or change of conditions like temp/pressure to remove adsorbed species from the adsorbent







Adsorption Mechanism







Choosing An Adsorbent

- Capacity
- Selectivity
- Adsorption kinetics
- Regenerability
- Compatibility
- Cost





Adsorbent Capacity

Amount of adsorbent taken up by the adsorbent per unit mass or volume

Depends on

- -Fluid phase concentration
- -Temperature
- -Initial condition of adsorbent

Strengths of surface forces Relative polarity of surfaces

- Amount of surface area available: :Micro porosity

-Accessibility to this area :Distribution of Macro/Meso/Micro Pores

Adsorbent capacity data gathered at

- Constant temperature
- Varying adsorbate concentration



(loading v/s concentration at constant temp.)





Significance of Adsorption Capacity

Critical :

Decides Adsorbent Inventory

It fixes the volume of adsorber vessel

Both are generally significant if not dominant

 Typically 1 Milli Mol/Gm Capacity Of Adsorbent Desirable





Adsorption Isotherms

Adsorption Adsorbate + Adsorbent _____ Adsorption desorption

 $A + B \rightleftharpoons AB$

According to Le-Chatelier principle, the direction of equilibrium would shift in that direction where the stress can be relieved. In case of application of excess of pressure to the equilibrium system, the equilibrium will shift in the direction where the number of molecules decreases. Since number of molecules decreases in forward direction, with the increases in pressure, forward direction of equilibrium will be favored.







Adsorption Capacity

• FOR A PARTICULAR ADSORBENT-ADSORBATE SYSTEM CAPACITY VARIES WITH TEMP, CONCENTRATION AND RELATIONSHIP IS GIVEN IN A ISOTHERM (Constant T) , ISOSTERES(Constant Loading)







Adsorbent Selectivity

• Quantified by $\alpha_{ij} = (y_i/y_j)/(x_i/x_j)$

An alternative expression that is roughly equivalent is :

 $\alpha_{ij} = K_i / K_j$

- Two types :Equilibrium Selectivity and Kinetic Selectivity
- Equilibrium Selectivity :Depends on nature of surface forces between adsorbent and adsorbate components
- Kinetic Selectivity : Depends on difference in rates of adsorption of adsorbate components



Adsorbent Selectivity





Water : Polar molecule . Surface forces VDW+Polar-Polar . Water gets adsorbed

Methane : Non Polar molecule . Surface forces VDW only . Methane may get weakly adsorbed



Water, Polar molecule Surface forces only VDW Weakly adsorbed

Methane Non polar molecule, Surface forces only VDW .strogly adsorbed




Adsorbent Selectivity





Kinetic diam of O_2 is 3.46 A, slightly less than pore diam of CMS adsorbent

 O_2 diffuses into CMS faster than N_2 which has slightly larger diam. of 3.64A

 O_2 gets adsorbed and N_2 is rejected

Commercially used in producing N_2 from air in high purity by PSA





Adsorbent Selectivity

• SIZE EXCLUSION



•Kinetic diam of n-paraffin 4.8 A, slightly less than pore diam of 5A adsorbent

•n-Paraffin diffuses into zeolite but isoparaffins ,naphthenes and aromatics of kinetic diams 5.2 to 6.0 A are not allowed to enter

•Commercially used in producing /separating n-paraffins from hydrocarbon streams (**MOLEX, ISOSIV**)





Adsorption Kinetics

• Intraparticle diffusional resistances control adsorption rate

 Fast kinetics leads to sharp breakthrough curve. Maximum utilisation of adsorbent when there is no intraparticle mass transfer resistance

• Slow kinetics leads to distended breakthrough curve with adsorbate early leakage





Effect of Mass Transfer Resistance on Breakthrough







Adsorbent Regenerability

- Both capacity and kinetics may be favourable but regenerability has to be easily achieved and sustained over repeated cycles (Does it have a "HEEL")
- Adsorbent regeneration may be by Temp Swing, Pressure Swing , displacement or purge
- For PSA/TSA Examine isotherm data under changed conditions of temperature and pressure





Regeneration Methods

| • | THERMAL | SWING | Suitable for liq/gas Good for strong adsorbed Large heat requirement Rapid cycling not possible,bed cooling may be necessary | | |
|---|----------------|-------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| • | PRESSURE SWING | | Good for weak adsorbed Rapid cycling possible Suitable for gas Mech energy requirement Low purity of strong adsorptive | | |

DISPLACEMENT DESORPTION

•Constant T,P operation

PURGE
 ·Large volume
 requirement

•Good for strongly adsorbed

•Avoids heat

•Addtl separation step necessary





CO₂ Capture by Pressure Swing Adsorption

•PSA Widely Attractive Technology For Gas Separations :
•H₂ recovery
•O₂, N₂ Production
• CO₂ Removal, Etc
•PSA Capitalises On Dependance Of Adsorption Equilibrium On Pressure



Separation Achieved Through Selective Concentration Of Component(s) On Solid Adsorbent At High Pressure. Desorption Follows When Pressure Is Lowered Commercial PSA Designed To Repeat Cycle Of Pressurisation-Adsorption And Depressurisation-Desorption To Provide Continuous Gas Separation







Commercial PSA Separations

- HYDROGEN RECOVERY / PURIFICATION
- AIR SEPARATION FOR OXYGEN/NITROGEN PRODUCTION
- N-ALKANE SEPARATION
- AIR DRYING
- CO₂ REMOVAL
- HELIUM RECOVERY / PURIFICATION
- CO RECOVERY
- SOLVENT /GASOLINE VAPOR RECOVERY
- OLEFIN/ PARAFFIN SEPARATION
- NITROGEN-METHANE SEPARATION





CO₂ Capture by PSA :Some Issues

- Current capacities of H₂ PSA are 6 MMCMD
- Flue gas from typical 210MW power plant will be 36 MMCMD
- Presence of SO_x may deactivate adsorbent. Wet FGD would be required. This would also cool the flue gas, beneficial for PSA
- Effect of NOx,Fly ash and O₂ on adsorption must be considered
- Adsorbent must work in presence of moisture
- Conventional PSA cycles produce the weak adsorptive (in this case N2) in high purity
- For CO2 recovery , new cycles are required to be designed and studied to produce the strong adsorptive CO2 in high purity
- Either PSA or VSA will have to be decided depending on choice of adsorbent, operating pressure and regeneration pressure keeping in mind that flue gas will be at almost ambient pressure







CO₂ Capture by PSA Recent Trends

- Novel adsorbents for adsorbing CO₂ at high temperatures and in presence of moisture under development
- These include Mesoporous materials, hydrotalcites etc
- New cycles being developed for recovery of strong adsorptive in high purity
- These include strong adsorptive purge, stripping reflux, dual reflux







Economics of CO₂ Capture Technologies







Cost of CO₂ Capture Using Chemical Absorption, PSA/VSA And Gas Separation Membranes

| | Chemical absorption | | Physical adsorption | | Gas membrane separation | |
|-------------------------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|-------------------------|--------------|-------------------------|--------------------------|
| Status of technology | Base line commercial | State-of-the art commercial | Base line technology | Emergin g | Base line technology | Emerging |
| | MEA solvent | KSI solvent | PSA | VSA | PPO membran e | Co-block membran e |
| CO ₂ recovery rate(%) | 90 | 90 | 90 | 75 | 90 | 90 |
| CO ₂ purity(%) | >98 | >98 | 44 | 48 | 43 | 62 |
| Energy Penalty(%) | 36 | 21 | 47 | 28 | 52 | 45 |
| Capture cost US\$/tonne CO2 avoided | 47 | 34 | 61 | 40 | 78 | 64 |





CO₂ Capture by Pressure Swing Adsorption Using MOF





Indo-Norwegian Co-operation Programme

Objective and Scope of Work

To evaluate performance of MOF for capture of CO₂ from flue gas







MOF Adsorbent Preparation and Formulation





Synthesis of Metal Organic Framework Adsorbent

- MOF are new class of adsorbent material with strong potential for CO₂ adsorption
- Generally consisting of two building elements: inorganic coupling units and organic linkers
- Highly porous with large surface area
- Pore size and functionality can be tailored





P

Properties to exploit <u>similarities to molecular sieves</u>:

- synthesis conditions
- good yields
- crystalline
- -tunable hydrophil(phob)icity and acid(basic)ity

differences from molecular sieves

- lower temp stability (up to 450 oC reported)
- much higher SA/PV
- more unobstructed gas diffusion
- much more diverse chemistry
- many more metals/ metal clusters available
 organic linkers can contain functionality





0.0101 001 0000 0110 1111 1110 0000 1000 1000





The UIO family

- UiO MOFs comprise a series of 3D isostructural materials build up of zirconium oxide clusters which are connected with different dicarboxylic acids.
- The core of the cluster is built up of 6 zirconium atoms forming a regular octahedron and 8 oxygen atoms capping the 8 faces of the Zr_6 octahedron (Zr_6O_8). The octahedrons faces are capped by 4 μ_3 -O and 4 μ_3 -OH groups.







Adsorbent Formulation

Any process will require formulated adsorbents!





Pictures of UiO-Ni and CPO-27-Ni made by extrusion method.





Particles of MCM-41 (left) and CPO-27-Ni (right) made by the "droplet" method.





Adsorbent Screening & Evaluation







Adsorbent Evaluation

Adsorbent evaluation was carried out on the basis of

- Isotherms
- Breakthrough Measurements in Single Column Microadsorber Unit
- Desorption Breakthrough Measurements
- Single Column PSA Studies
- Breakthrough Measurements in Presence of Moisture





Comparison of Equilibrium Isotherm Data









| Adsorbent Selectivity for CO_2 -N ₂ at 1 bar | |
|-----------------------------------------------------------|--------------|
| Zeolite Z-10-04 MOF- UIO-66 | 40.0 13.2 |
| | |

MOF Capacity for CO₂ is lower than zeolite in the pressure range of interest Deciding Factor will be regenerability !





Breakthrough Measurements



Experimental Set up







•Minimum adsorbent quantity: 5 gms

Microprocessor based

- •Max. Temp: 500 oC
- •Design Pressure: 30 bar
- •Feed Flow : 500 ml/min

•Single column adsorber

•Flexibility to evaluate different PSA.VSA cycles

•Rapid action solenoid valves for RPSA separations





Effect of Pressure on CO₂ breakthrough with MOF UIO-66



Feed Comp: 15 mol% CO₂ in N₂ Temp: 303 K Feed Flow Rate: 0. 26 NLPM Adsorber Col dia: 1.1 cm Adsorbent loading: 5 gms

Higher the pressure, higher the breakthrough time





Comparison of CO₂ breakthrough of MOF and Zeolite



Feed Comp: 15 mol% CO₂ in N₂

Temp: 303 K

Feed Flow Rate: 0. 26 NLPM

Adsorber Col dia: 1.1 cm

Adsorbent loading: 5 gms

Sharper Breakthrough curves with MOF

Better adsorption kinetics are indicated

Could impact adsorbent regenerability





Regeneration Studies





Regeneration Studies

- Loaded adsorbent in microadsorber was countercurrently purged with nitrogen along with application of vacuum
- Effluent was monitored for CO₂ concentration
- Nitrogen flow rate was maintained at 0.1 NLPM
- Temperature : 303 K
- Conditions were same for both zeolite Z10-04 as well as MOF (UIO-66)





Comparison of Regeneration Curves







VSA Cycle Studies





Single Column VSA Cycle Studies

- Six step VSA Cycle was used in the single column microadsorber
 - Feed pressurisation
 - Adsorption
 - Blowdown
 - Cocurrent CO₂ rinse
 - Countercurrent Nitrogen purge

•Feed 15% CO₂ in nitrogen
•Feed flow :0.26 NLPM
•Pressure , high: 2.0 bar
•Pressure ,low: 0.1 bar
•Temperature : 303 K

- Countercurrent Evacuation with Nitrogen purge
- Pure nitrogen was used as countercurrent purge
- Pure CO₂ was used as cocurrent rinse





Single Column PSA Cycle Steps







Effect of Adsorption Time on Performance



At minimum cycle time CO₂ purities are higher with zeolite but recoveries are lower





Effect of Rinse Cycle Time on Performance



CO₂ recoveries are lower with zeolite but purities are higher





Cyclic Stability of MOF for CO₂ recovery



 ${}^{\circ}\text{CO}_2$ concentrations in product remain constant up to 40 PSA cycles studied

•No deterioration in the adsorbent performance observed

•UIO -66 shows good cyclicity over a large number of PSA cycles





Effect of Moisture on CO₂ breakthrough with MOF



•BT experiments over 50 cycles carried out in presence of moisture

•BT time does not affected in the presence of moisture

•Results demonstrate the regenerability of adsorbent in the presence of moisture




Conclusions

- MOF UIO-66 has been compared with a commercial zeolite for CO_2 separation from mixtures with N_2 .
- The MOF shows lower capacities and selectivities than the zeolite for this separation
- CO₂ purities observed in single column PSA studies are higher with zeolite
- The regenerability with MOF appears better leading to higher CO_2 recoveries
- Long term cycle stability is observed with MOF
- Minimal Loss in capacity is observed with MOF but this capacity loss appears to get stabilised





THANK YOU

iip.res.in





•Kinetic diameter is the smallest effective dimension of a given molecule

•O2 and N2 are diatomic molecules not shperes in shape but rateh cylindrical in shape like tiny jelly bean

•Length dimension of the cylindrical shape is a larger dimnesion than the smaller waistline diameter of the cylindrical shape.



Adsorption Isotherms

Equation

$$q=KC$$

$$q/q_{s}=bC/(1+bC)$$

$$q=bC^{1/n}$$

$$\frac{C^{e}}{(C_{s}-C_{e})q_{e}}=\frac{1}{BQ^{0}}+(\frac{B-1}{BQ_{0}})(\frac{C_{e}}{C_{s}})$$

$$q/q_{s} = bC^{1/n}/(1+bC^{1/n})$$

model

Henry Langmuir Freundlich

BET

Langmuir-Freundlich

$$q_a/q_s = b_a^* p_a/(1+b_a^* p_a + b_b^* p_b + \dots)$$

Dilute stream: Henry

Langmuir multicomponent

Generally Freundlich and Langmuir satisfactory.

For multicomponent IAST preferable but implicit solution so difficult to implement

